

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/731,452	TAGHAVI, SHANE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. Nakarani	1773	

All Participants:

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Jon L. Roberts, Attorney.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2006

Time: 3:45 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 9-26 and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Roberts agreed to cancel claims 9-24 directed to nonelected inventions and to amend claims 1, 25, 26 and 28 to avoid new issues raised by amendment after final for consideration. For details of the agreement see Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

D. S. Nakarani. 10/28/06
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)